



**DORSET & WILTSHIRE
FIRE AND RESCUE**

2026

Item 26/07 Appendix 3

Station Review – Maiden Newton Fire Station

This paper is not to be shared, reproduced or published without the express permission of the Chief Fire Officer.

Dorset & Wiltshire Fire and Rescue Service

MEETING	Members Working Group
DATE OF MEETING	6th November 2025
SUBJECT OF REPORT	Maiden Newton Fire Station - Station Review
STATUS OF REPORT	For publication
PURPOSE OF REPORT	For decision
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	<p>General</p> <p>As Members have requested, eight stations have been chosen to be included within their value for money review programme.</p> <p>These reviews are important as they are a potential means of addressing two main strategic risks facing the Authority, namely:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Failure to secure financial sustainability that ensures and maintains effective service provision (Strategic risk 6) <p>Note: Currently the predicted revenue budget shortfall for financial year 2026-27 is £1.38m rising to £1.76m in 2028/29.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Failure to have a robust and financially sustainable on-call duty system to meet the needs of the Service (Strategic risk 9) <p>Dependent upon the decisions made by the Authority, the savings may be used to address the financial deficit facing the Authority or to offer an opportunity for alternative reinvestment to further improve frontline delivery, aligned to current and future risk and demand.</p> <p>The methodology, data sets and process undertaken in producing this paper, followed the approach approved by Members at the Member’s Working Group, on the 5 March 2025.</p> <p>This station review</p> <p>This paper, and the supporting documents, provide Members with a review of Maiden Newton Fire Station.</p> <p>This station has one fire appliance, and one light off road pumping appliance (Land Rover).</p> <p>Additionally, a second Land Rover is based on site that is used by Andy Elliot who is the station Commander and National Wildfire Tactical Advisor. This resource does not form part of this review, although may need to be considered should a decision be taken to close the station.</p>

	<p>The station currently has seven on-call firefighters who provide 30.95% availability and typically respond to a low number of incidents (30 average per year).</p> <p>The annual revenue cost of the station is currently £144k, with capital investment requirements in property and vehicle replacement of around £32k per year. The review has identified that the station has:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Low incident numbers. • Low historic and current station availability. • Significant recruitment and retention issues. • Low level community risks on its station ground. <p>On the basis that Maiden Newton Fire Station was available 100% of the time (which is a modelled response and not reflecting its current 30.95% availability), if it were to be closed there may be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An increase in response time of 4 minutes 49 seconds to property fires with a sleeping risk. • An increase in response time of 2 minutes 20 seconds to property fires with no sleeping risk. • An increase in response time of 2 minutes 53 seconds to road traffic collisions. <p>This report evidences that the closure of this station would:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Have low knock-on operational impacts to surrounding stations. • Present an annual revenue saving of £144k. • Provide a reduction in capital investment requirement across the property and vehicle replacement of around £32k per year. • Present an opportunity for a one-off capital receipt for the sale of the station and site. • Provide an opportunity for savings or more effective and efficient use of resources in areas of greater community need. <p>As the Authority's professional advisor, the advice of the Chief Fire Officer is that this station should be the subject of public consultation and considered for closure by the Authority.</p>
RISK ASSESSMENT	<p>The Service has two strategic risks that this review seeks to mitigate:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Failure to secure financial sustainability that ensures and maintains effective service provision (Strategic risk 6) • Failure to have a robust and financially sustainable on-call duty system to meet the needs of the Service (Strategic risk 9)

	<p>Failure to deliver changes that improve efficiency, effectiveness and productivity could undermine the reputation of the Service, with potential interest from His Majesty's Inspectorate of Constabulary and Fire & Rescue Services and wider stakeholders.</p>										
COMMUNITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT	<p>A comprehensive Stage 2 Impact Assessment has been undertaken, which includes community impact.</p> <p>This has identified a negative impact on communities with an increase in response times based on a modelled 100% station availability.</p>										
PEOPLE IMPACT ASSESSMENT	<p>A comprehensive Stage 2 People Impact Assessment has been undertaken for all affected staff.</p> <p>This has identified negative impacts with the loss of posts due to a probable lack of redeployment opportunities. It is estimated that the total redundancy costs are £34,290.</p>										
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT	<p>A Stage 1 Impact Assessment has been completed.</p>										
BUDGET IMPLICATIONS	<p>Station reviews present the Fire Authority with the opportunity to potentially reduce capital costs and ongoing revenue budget expenditure from key stations, to either investing in the critical risk and demand areas of the Service or provide an overall saving to support the financial deficit.</p> <p>A full review of Maiden Newton Fire Station costs has been identified in the Financial Data section of Appendix A. The potential removal of this station will have the following financial impacts:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="557 1352 1442 1695"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Amount (£)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Estimated annual capital budget savings</td> <td>£32,236</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Estimated annual revenue budget savings</td> <td>£143,608</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Estimated one-off redundancy costs</td> <td>£34,290</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Latest Station Valuation*</td> <td>£220,000</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>*Asset valuation used within the Annual Statement of Accounts. A full valuation for sale will be undertaken should Members recommend consideration for closure to the Authority.</p>	Amount (£)		Estimated annual capital budget savings	£32,236	Estimated annual revenue budget savings	£143,608	Estimated one-off redundancy costs	£34,290	Latest Station Valuation*	£220,000
Amount (£)											
Estimated annual capital budget savings	£32,236										
Estimated annual revenue budget savings	£143,608										
Estimated one-off redundancy costs	£34,290										
Latest Station Valuation*	£220,000										
PROFESSIONAL ADVICE	<p>As the Authority's professional advisor, the advice of the Chief Fire Officer is that this station should be the subject of public consultation and considered for closure by the Authority.</p> <p>Members are asked to consider and approve:</p>										

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Maiden Newton Fire Station to be included in the public consultation programme and considered for potential closure by the Authority.
BACKGROUND PAPERS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Community Safety Plan 2024-28 • Fire Cover Review 2023 • Medium Term Financial Plan 2025-29 • MWG Decision Report – Station Closures Feb 25 • MWG Fire Station Review Report Template • MWG Stage 2 People Impact Assessment Template • MWG Station Review Methodology Paper • Over the Border Review • Resourcing and Savings Programme 2024-26 Framework • Station Review Mandate • Strategic Assessment of Risk 2023-25 and 2025-28
APPENDICES	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Appendix A – Station Review – Maiden Newton Fire Station
REPORT ORIGINATOR	<p>Name: Cllr Kevin Small, Members Working Group Chair. Email: democratic.services@dwfire.org.uk</p>

1. Background

- 1.1. The fire and rescue authorities are legally required under the National Framework for Fire and Rescue Services (England) 2018 to produce a Community Risk Management Plan (CRMP). This requirement is met by the Authority through the production and approval of the Community Safety Plan (CSP).
- 1.2. Within the CSP 2021-2024, the Service made a commitment to develop and deliver a full and comprehensive Fire Cover Review (FCR) for the Service. As Members are aware this was undertaken in 2023.
- 1.3. The FCR supported the delivery of the CSP 2024-2028 and its associated commitments. Through this a number of changes to duty systems and fire appliance numbers have been so far delivered yielding improvement to fire cover, a better use of public money and savings of around £900k. The decisions associated with these changes has been undertaken by the Chief Fire Officer through delegated arrangements.
- 1.4. The Authority however indicated that potential fire station closures should remain a decision for the Authority and subsequently established a Members Working Group.
- 1.5. The inaugural Members Working Group (MWG) took place in November 2024. Through this initial meeting Members tasked Officers to deliver a review to identify the stations for review, using an agreed methodology and approach.
- 1.6. At their subsequent meeting on the 5 March 2025 Members approved eight stations for review, using the following agreed criteria:
 - **Corporate response impact:** The overall percentage contribution to all Service mobilisations assuming the appliance was available 100.00% of the time.
 - **Travel time to next station:** The travel time to the next nearest Dorset & Wiltshire Fire and Rescue Service station.
 - **Proximity to a whole time duty station.**
 - **Non-removal of two neighbouring stations.**
- 1.7. During this meeting Members also approved the methodology, appendices documentation and report templates required to support a decision for each station reviewed. This report and associated appendices are fully aligned to this direction.

2. Maiden Newton Fire Station

2.1. About Maiden Newton Fire Station

- 2.2. Maiden Newton Fire Station has one fire appliance and one Land Rover. An additional Land Rover is based on site to support a National Wildfire Tactical Advisor Role.
- 2.3. The station currently has seven on-call firefighters who provide 30.95% availability and typically respond to a low number of incidents (29.6 average per year).

2.4. Appendix A - Station Review of Maiden Newton Fire Station, provides a full assessment of Maiden Newton's Fire Station's performance and existing response arrangements, against the modelled performance of the proposed response arrangements.

2.5. **Financial analysis**

2.6. Table 1, below, provides the annual revenue costs incurred at the station in the period April 2020 to March 2025. This includes the cost of drill nights and operational activity, premises costs including standard maintenance and cleaning, laundry and equipment costs.

Annual revenue costs incurred	
Year	Revenue Costs
2020/21	£101,624
2021/22	£111,487
2022/23	£124,380
2023/24	£133,463
2024/25	£116,359

Table 1: Analysis of the annual revenue costs incurred at Maiden Newton Fire Station in the period April 2020 to March 2025

2.7. Table 2 provides a breakdown of capital cyclical maintenance costs incurred since April 2016 (each station has a full cyclical review every seven years).

Cyclical maintenance costs	
Type and Period	Cost
Cyclical maintenance	£89,945

Table 2: Cyclical maintenance costs incurred at Maiden Newton Fire Station since April 2016

2.8. Table 3 provides a breakdown of the estimated annual cost avoidance that would be achieved indirectly across various support service departments should the station be closed by the Authority.

Annual cost avoidance if closed	
Department	Cost
Fleet maintenance cost	£4,715
ICT – licencing, connectivity, printing	£15,915
Treasury – financing cost avoidance	£33,983
Uniform	£2,875
ICT – hardware	£2,876

Table 3: Annual cost avoidance across support service departments should Maiden Newton Fire Station be closed

2.9. If following public consultation, the Authority decided to close the station, the indicative annual savings, shown in Table 4 may be realised. It should be noted that some of the annual revenue budget savings will not be immediate due existing

contracts for the provision of services and equipment but will be achieved once contract periods end and equipment is returned.

Estimated annual savings and cost avoidance	
Type	Cost
Revenue	£143,608
Capital Expenditure	£32,236

Table 4: Estimated annual revenue budget savings and capital expenditure cost avoidance at Maiden Newton Fire Station

2.10. It is estimated that 96.01% of the stations operational activity will transfer to a neighbouring on-call station, so these costs will not cease and have been excluded from the estimated savings.

2.11. Table 5 provides an estimate of expected redundancy costs based on current station personnel.

Estimated redundancy costs	
	Cost
Estimated redundancy costs	£34,290

Table 5: Estimate of expected redundancy costs based on current station personnel at Maiden Newton Fire Station

2.12. Asset ownership and covenants

2.13. The station land is owned by the Authority with no covenants in place. At the end of each financial year the Service must value each station for inclusion in the annual Statement of Accounts. This amount has been included for reference only. Table 6 provides a breakdown of the last full station valuation, which was completed in March 2022.

Latest station valuation	
Building Valuation	Land Valuation
£130,000	£90,000

Table 6: Breakdown of the latest full station valuation for Maiden Newton Fire Station

2.14. The actual value which could be achieved via site disposal is likely to vary from this, and a full independent valuation of likely capital receipts will be established if a capital receipt is to be sought.

2.15. Crewing and operational resources

2.16. Current establishment for the station is seven staff consisting of: one Watch Manager, two Crew Managers and four Firefighters.

2.17. Between 1 April 2024 and 31 March 2025 the availability of the appliance was 30.95%. This equates to 51.83 hours of availability per week.

2.18. The current weekly contracted hours are 431.13 (35.93%) from a maximum Full Cover establishment (FCE). For reference a one pump station can utilise ten FCE or 1,200hrs per week.

2.19. Recruitment and retention profile

2.20. Between 1 April 2019 and 31 March 2025 there were five starters and four leavers. As of 1 April 2025, the average length of service of on-call staff at Maiden Newton Fire Station was 12 years and 11 months.

2.21. According to the Census 2021, approximately 44.44% of the Maiden Newton population were aged 15-years or under or aged 65-years and over. Therefore, only a small number of the population has been identified as eligible for recruitment to Maiden Newton Fire Station. Should the station remain open this may impact future recruitment to maintain availability of the station’s appliance.

2.22. Operational vehicles

2.23. The station has the following vehicles (Table 7):

Resource
Standard pumping appliance
1 x Light off-road vehicle
1 x Wildfire Support light off road vehicle (not included within this project).

Table 7: Existing operational resources at Maiden Newton Fire Station

2.24. Response performance

2.25. In line with the agreed methodology, the review has been modelled based on the assumption that all pumping appliances within the Service are 100% available. This removes any bias from historical appliance availability, giving the true demand and impact for each station. Data on the actual number of mobilisations for each station, and actual appliance availability, are also included to ensure a full picture is considered.

2.26. Using modelling software, an overview of response performance, is provided against building fires with sleeping risks, other buildings and road traffic collisions for the period 1 April 2019 to 31 March 2024.

2.27. **At a Service wide level**, the data shows that the Service would achieve 8,635 (81.60%) of the corporate targets for **first appliance** response standard, before the closure of Maiden Newton Fire Station. If Maiden Newton Fire Station were to be closed 8,604 (81.30%) of the first appliance response standards would have been met. This would be a reduction of only 31 incidents that met the Service response standard over the five-year period.

2.28. The data shows that the Service would achieve 4,703 (82.70%) of the **second appliance** response standards (thirteen-minutes for sleeping risk and fifteen-minutes for other fires) before the closure of Maiden Newton Fire Station. If Maiden Newton Fire Station were to be closed 4,701 (82.60%) of the second appliance

response standards would have been met. This would be a reduction of two incidents that met the Service response standard over the five-year period.

2.29. For modelled responses to the 67,561 incidents that occurred across the Service between 1 April 2019 and 31 March 2024, the current average first response time is 9 minutes 6 seconds. If Maiden Newton Fire Station were to be closed, the modelled average first response time for the period 1 April 2019 to 31 March 2024 would have been 9 minutes 7 seconds. This would be an increase of 0 minutes and 1 second.

2.30. For modelled responses to the 67,561 incidents that occurred across the Service between 1 April 2019 and 31 March 2024, 12,127 required two or more appliances on the initial response plan. The average second appliance response time to these incidents is 12 minutes 25 seconds. If Maiden Newton Fire Station were to be closed, the modelled average second response time to these incidents for the period 1 April 2019 to 31 March 2024 would have been 12 minutes 26 seconds, with an increase of 0 minutes and 1 second on the average second appliance response time Service wide.

2.31. Impact on response

2.32. This section reviews the change in pumping appliance mobilisations for the surrounding fire stations, based on Appendix A during the period 1 April 2019 to 31 March 2024.

2.33. The analysis only looks at the impacts on first and second pumping appliances and does not account for mobilisations for a third pumping appliance, or more, attending make-ups or standby moves, due to the complexity of modelling and increase in time to model all statistics that sit outside of statutory governance requirements.

2.34. Impacts on stations for additional mobilisations have been considered within this report, calculating the difference between mobilisations modelled on Maiden Newton Fire Station being available and mobilisations modelled on the closure of the station.

2.35. Summary of Response Impacts:

Incidents located where Maiden Newton Fire Station would support the initial response N.B. this assumes 100% availability across the Service			
Incident Category	First Attendance	Second Attendance	Total
Property Fire with Sleeping Risk	17	5	22
Property Fire without Sleeping Risk	3	0	3
Other Fire	55	21	76
Automatic Fire Alarm (AFA)	37	9	46
Road Traffic Collision (RTC)	31	20	51
Non-Statutory with Life Risk	17	4	21
Non-Statutory without Life Risk	40	17	57
All Incidents	200	76	276

Table 8: Number of incidents located where Maiden Newton Fire Station would support the initial response as either the first or second pumping appliance during the five-year period from 1 April 2019 to 31 March 2024 (assuming 100% appliance availability across the Service)

	Property Fire with Sleeping Risk		Property Fire without Sleeping Risk		Road Traffic Collision (RTC)	
Modelled Response inc. Maiden Newton Fire Station	First Attendance	Second Attendance	First Attendance	Second Attendance	First Attendance	Second Attendance
Average Response Time (minutes:seconds)	14:50	20:56	21:31	23:51	14:48	18:36
Response Standard Achieved (incidents)	4 of 22 (18.18%)	1 of 22 (4.55%)	0 of 3 (0.00%)	0 of 3 (0.00%)	27 of 51 (52.94%)	Not Applicable
Modelled Response exc. Maiden Newton Fire Station	First Attendance	Second Attendance	First Attendance	Second Attendance	First Attendance	Second Attendance
Average Response Time (minutes:seconds)	19:39	23:00	23:51	26:18	17:41	21:01
Response Standard Achieved (incidents)	0 of 22 (0.00%)	0 of 22 (0.00%)	0 of 3 (0.00%)	0 of 3 (0.00%)	14 of 51 (27.45%)	Not Applicable
Impact on Modelled Response Capability	First Attendance	Second Attendance	First Attendance	Second Attendance	First Attendance	Second Attendance
Average Response Time (minutes:seconds)	+ 4:49	+ 2:04	+ 2:20	+ 2:27	+ 2:53	+ 2:25
Response Standard Achieved (incidents)	- 4	- 1	No Change	No Change	- 13	Not Applicable

Table 9: Modelled response capability for incidents located where Maiden Newton Fire Station would support the initial response plan during the five-year period from 1 April 2019 to 31 March 2024- Statutory Response (assuming 100% appliance availability across the Service)

	Accidental Dwelling Fires		Fire Related Injuries	
	First Attendance	Second Attendance	First Attendance	Second Attendance
Modelled Response inc. Maiden Newton Fire Station				
Average Response Time (minutes:seconds)	14:32	20:42	20:31	27:11
Response Standard Achieved (incidents)	4 of 20 (20.00%)	1 of 20 (5.00%)	0 of 1 (0.00%)	0 of 1 (0.00%)
Modelled Response exc. Maiden Newton Fire Station				
Average Response Time (minutes:seconds)	19:37	22:43	27:11	27:11
Response Standard Achieved (incidents)	0 of 20 (0.00%)	0 of 20 (0.00%)	0 of 1 (0.00%)	0 of 1 (0.00%)
Impact on Modelled Response Capability				
Average Response Time (minutes:seconds)	+ 5:05	+ 2:01	+ 6:40	No Change
Response Standard Achieved (incidents)	- 4	- 1	No Change	No Change

Table 10: Modelled response capability for incidents located where Maiden Newton Fire Station would support the initial response plan during the five-year period from 1 April 2019 to 31 March 2024 – Statutory but recorded in other areas such as property fire with sleeping risk (assuming 100% appliance availability across the Service). There were no fire related fatalities during the review period where Maiden Newton Fire Station would have provided either the first or second closest response.

3. Impacts of closure

- 3.1. This review does not cover the mobilising of the Wildfire Tactical Advisor. Should the decision be taken to close this station, discussions around this asset may need to be considered.
- 3.2. If Maiden Newton Fire Station were to be available 100% of the time, the closure of the station would require responses from surrounding stations to 22 property fires with sleeping risk incidents over five years. This equates to approximately four incidents of this type a year. This would increase the modelled first in attendance time by 4 minutes 49 seconds and the second attendance time by 2 minutes 4 seconds.
- 3.3. It should be noted that in reality the Service has, and continues to struggle, to recruit and retain on-call firefighters at this station and it is therefore only available around 31% of the time. Maiden Newton were available and mobilised to four of these incidents over this five-year period.
- 3.4. Maiden Newton Fire Stations appliance availability has remained stable in the last year, if over the previous five years availability had been the same as this year it could have been available for seven of the 22 incidents.
- 3.5. If the station were to be closed it would require responses from the surrounding stations to three property fires without sleeping risk incidents over five years. This would increase the modelled first in attendance time by 2 minutes 20 seconds and the second attendance time by 2 minutes 27 seconds. It should be noted however that Maiden Newton Fire Station was only available and mobilised to one of these incidents due to its actual availability.
- 3.6. As with property fires with sleeping risk, if the appliance availability had been the same as the past year over the previous five years, the appliance could have been available for one of the three incidents.
- 3.7. The closure of the station would require responses from surrounding stations to the 51 road traffic collision incidents over five years (10.2 per year). This would increase the modelled first in attendance time by 2 minutes 53 seconds. There is no response standard for second appliances at road traffic collisions. Of these 51 incidents, Maiden Newton were actually available and mobilised to 12 of these incidents.
- 3.8. Based on the appliance availability over the last year the appliance could have been available for 16 of the 51 road traffic collision incidents.
- 3.9. There were 17 additional modelled incidents over the five year period falling within these categories where the first appliance attendance would not meet the response standard, should the station be closed. There is also one additional modelled incident where the second appliance attendance would not meet the response standard should the station be closed.
- 3.10. The closure of the station would require responses from surrounding stations to the 20 accidental dwelling fire incidents over five years (four per year). This would

increase the modelled first in attendance time by 5 minutes 5 seconds and the second attendance time by 2 minutes 1 second. Maiden Newton Fire Station were available and mobilised to two of these incidents.

4. Impact on neighbouring fire stations (DWFRS stations only)

Summary of response impacts on neighbouring fire stations			
Fire Station	Current modelled number of response	Modelled number of responses with Maiden Newton Fire Station closed	Impact (Over 5 years)
Bridport Fire Station	1	67	+66
Beaminster Fire Station	88	100	+12
Dorchester Fire Station	160	318	+158
Sherborne Fire Station	27	67	+40

Table 11: Modelled responses of impacted DWFRS Fire Stations to support or provide resilience to the initial response plan to incidents during the five-year period from 1 April 2019 to 31 March 2024, located where Maiden Newton Fire Station would provide the first or second nearest response, with and without availability of Maiden Newton Fire Station’s pumping appliance

4.1. Should it be decided by Members to close Maiden Newton Fire Station, over the modelled five-year period:

- Dorchester Fire Station would see the highest increase of incidents with 158 (31.6 per year) modelled responses, acting as the nearest or second nearest response to support or provide resilience. Dorchester Fire Station’s two appliances were available for 99.99% and 38.48% respectively for the period between 1 April 2024 and 31 March 2025.
- Bridport Fire Station would see an increase of 66 (13.2 per year) modelled responses to support or provide resilience. Bridport Fire Station’s two appliances were available for 100.00% and 93.23% respectively for the period between 1 April 2024 and 31 March 2025.
- Sherborne Fire Station would see an increase of 40 (8.0 per year) modelled responses to support or provide resilience. Sherborne Fire Station’s two appliances were available for 99.66% and 44.22% respectively for the period between 1 April 2024 and 31 March 2025.
- Beaminster Fire Station would see an increase of 12 (2.4 per year) modelled responses to support or provide resilience. Beaminster Fire Station’s appliance was available for 83.44% for the period between 1 April 2024 and 31 March 2025.

5. Operational resilience

5.1. This section considers the existing on-call section at the nearest stations and the availability percentages of the nearest ten pumping appliances to Maiden Newton Fire Station. The response time consists of the appropriate turn out time added to the travel time from station to station, based on the travel time matrix used within the Service.

Nearest pumping appliances to Maiden Newton Fire Station				
Appliance	Fire station	Crewing model	Response time	Availability
P1	Dorchester	Day Duty System	17 / 20 minutes	99.99%
P2	Dorchester	On-Call Duty System	20 minutes	38.48%
P1	Beaminster	On-Call Duty System	22 minutes	83.44%
P1	Bridport	On-Call Duty System	25 minutes	100.00%
P4	Bridport	On-Call Duty System	25 minutes	93.23%
P1	Weymouth	Wholetime Duty System	30 minutes	N/A
P2	Weymouth	On-Call Duty System	33 minutes	89.88%
P1	Bere Regis	On-Call Duty System	35 minutes	35.44%
P1	Sherborne	On-Call Duty System	36 minutes	99.66%
P2	Sherborne	On-Call Duty System	36 minutes	44.22%

Table 12: Nearest ten pumping appliances within DWFRS to Maiden Newton Fire Station by response time to fire station (response time incorporates turn-out time plus travel time) with on-call appliance availability for period 1 April 2024 to 31 March 2025. * Availability for Dorchester P1 and Dorchester P2 have been calculated by applying the on-call availability to the day duty crewing model, assuming 100.00% availability of Dorchester P1 during the day duty period, however the on-call section were responsible for crewing only Dorchester P2 during this period.

Nearest pumping appliances to Maiden Newton Fire Station from neighbouring fire and rescue services				
Appliance	Fire station	Fire and rescue service	Crewing model	Response time
P1	Yeovil	Devon & Somerset	Wholetime Duty System	23 minutes
P2	Yeovil	Devon & Somerset	On-Call Duty System	26 minutes
P1	Crewkerne	Devon & Somerset	On-Call Duty System	30 minutes

Table 13: Nearest pumping appliances from neighbouring fire and rescue services to Maiden Newton Fire Station by response time to fire station (response time incorporates turn-in time plus travel time)

5.2. Travel distances from the Service’s closest stations to Maiden Newton Fire Station for the first three appliances mean that attendance times range from 17 minutes to

22 minutes. Although attendance times will vary depending upon the incident location as the times detailed in Table 12 are for station to station and include the appropriate turnout time.

- 5.3. Whilst over the border resources can be utilised (Table 13), the Service cannot rely upon these arrangements within our CRMP. Therefore, these resources are for indicative purposes only.
- 5.4. It is worth noting that the appliance availability at the key surrounding stations, over the review period, was generally high. Should this reduce however then resilience in the area may provide future challenges.

6. Current and emerging operational risk

- 6.1. The review has highlighted that, whilst there are small pockets of development identified, at the time of this review, there are no significant future developments proposed within the immediate area for the next three years.
- 6.2. Table 14 below, provides a RAG summary of the future developments for Maiden Newton Fire Station area.

Summary of known future developments for Maiden Newton Fire Station area		
Type of development	Community impact	Risk to Service
Critical Infrastructure	N/A	N/A
Non-Critical Infrastructure	N/A	N/A
Housing Developments	+ 4 minutes 49 seconds	Minor
Commercial Developments	+ 2 minutes 20 seconds	Minor

Table 14: Summary of known future developments for Maiden Newton Fire Station area

- 6.3. The modelled increase in response times for the first appliance in attendance has highlighted increases in the attendance times for both property fires with and without sleeping risks. These increases are considered, from a professional advice perspective, to be tolerable with no impact on response targets.
- 6.4. No existing operational risks have been identified within the Maiden Newton Fire Station area risk profile that qualify as a level 3 or higher risk site (which is defined as a medium, high or very high level of risk determined by the Services' Provision of Risk Information System).
- 6.5. **Response capability to risk sites**
- 6.6. The closure of the Station would mean that a primary response to the incidents at the risk sites, highlighted in Table 15, would transfer to a neighbouring station.
- 6.7. As the majority of neighbouring stations are all on-call, familiarisation times for these sites will need to be planned as part of quarterly training schedules. This will be a minimal impact on workloads for these stations, within the capacity of those stations.

Response capability to risk sites			
Risk Category	Number of sites Day (07:30 to 19:30) / Night (19:30 to 07:30)	Current sites reached in response standard Day (07:30 to 19:30) / Night (19:30 to 07:30)	Change in number of sites reached in response standard Day (07:30 to 19:30) / Night (19:30 to 07:30)
High Rise	0	0	N/A
Care Homes	1	0	No Change
Hospitals	0	0	N/A
Wildfires	0	0	N/A
Heritage	53	4	-4
Thatch	182/189	19/19	-19/-19
COMAH and MACR	0	0	N/A
Flooding	14/15	8/9	-5/-7
High Risk Safe & Well	96/98	28/28	-28/-28

Table 15: Modelled response capability for risk sites located where Maiden Newton Fire Station would provide the nearest response. COMAH (Control of Major Accident Hazards) and MACR (Major Accident Control Regulations).

- 6.8. Response times to these risk sites are likely to increase, should an incident occur. However, Table 15 shows at present that response standards would not be met on most occasions.
- 6.9. The data suggests the highest risk area in terms of site numbers is that of Thatch properties which at present the station reaches 19 of the 182/189 within the response time. High Risk Safe & Well properties and Heritage also show relatively high numbers in comparison to other station risks. Should the station be closed, these will be an area of continued focus for our prevention teams and their activities.
- 6.10. As at present, should an incident occur in any of these risks, resources will be drawn from across the Service and our partners, as incidents for these risks are inherently resource intensive.

7. Cross border mobilisations

- 7.1. Cross border mobilisations are where appliances from one fire and rescue service are mobilised into another fire and rescue service area.
- 7.2. Table 16 provides the number of cross border mobilisations over the past five years.

Number of cross border mobilisations		
Fire and rescue service	Number of neighbouring service mobilisations into Maiden Newton Fire Station area	Number of Maiden Newton Fire Station mobilisations to neighbouring FRS
Devon and Somerset Fire and Rescue	69	1

Table 16: Number of cross border mobilisations between 1 April 2019 and 31 March 2024

- 7.3. Across the five years cross border resources were mobilised from Maiden Newton Fire Station area into Devon and Somerset Fire & Rescue Service (DSFRS) on one occasion. DSFRS were mobilised to an average of 13.8 calls a year into the Maiden Newton Fire Station area. Please note that these mobilisations do not include any National Wildfire Tactical Advisor mobilisations.
- 7.4. The closure of Maiden Newton Fire Station has the potential to increase the likelihood of neighbouring services being required to respond into the Maiden Newton Fire Station area, depending on the location of the incident. However, based on the number of mobilisations, during the review period, the future number of mobilisations is likely to remain very low.

8. Special appliances

- 8.1. Maiden Newton Fire Station provided the following Special Appliances over the review period.

Mobilisations of Maiden Newton Fire Station's special appliances	
Vehicle	Mobilisations
Light off-road vehicle	34
Total mobilisations	34

Table 17: Mobilisations of Maiden Newton Fire Station's special appliances during the period 1 April 2019 to 31 March 2024.

9. Area profile summary and impact assessments summary

- 9.1. The Stage 2 Impact Assessments were undertaken to provide an overview of the current make-up of the staff at Maiden Newton Fire Station, along with the demographic of the communities that are served by the station.

- 9.2. The key finding from this Impact Assessment identifies that 53.87% of residents in Maiden Newton are aged 50 or over, which is slightly higher than Dorset at 52.10% and well above the national level of 37.83%.
- 9.3. This indicates that should Maiden Newton Fire Station be approved for closure; the Service will continue to deliver prevention activities prioritising Maiden Newton's over 50 population.
- 9.4. As highlighted earlier within the report, Impact Assessments have highlighted that, according to the Census 2021, approximately 44.44% of the Maiden Newton's population were aged 15-years or under or aged 65-years and over. Therefore, only a small number of the population has been identified as eligible for recruitment to Maiden Newton Fire Station. Should the station remain open this may impact future recruitment to maintain availability of the Maiden Newton Fire Station appliance.

10. Station impact, realisation and cost analysis

10.1. Table 18 provides an overview of the impact and benefit if the decision was to close Maiden Newton Fire Station.

Project analysis for Maiden Newton Fire Station	
Project impact	Project realisation
1. Average response time increase of 4 minutes 49 seconds for first appliance to property fire with sleeping risk.	1. Estimated annual Revenue saving of £143,608.
2. Average response time increase of 2 minutes 20 seconds for first appliance to property fire without sleeping risk.	2. Estimated Capital saving of £32,236 per year.
3. Average response time increase of 2 minutes 53 seconds for first appliance to road traffic collision (RTC).	3. Additional mobilisations may support the motivation and retention of surrounding stations.
4. 17 (3.4 per year) first appliance mobilisations may not meet Service response standards.	4. Stations will become familiar with additional risks.
5. 1 (0.2 per year) second appliance mobilisations may not meet Service response standards.	5. With Weymouth offering a wholetime/on-call support and Dorchester offering day duty/on-call support this adds to the resilience in the region.
6. Overall, 51 (10.2 per year) mobilisations may impact first in attendance appliance mobilisations.	6. When compared to the DWFRS average, all incident average attendance time would be an increase of 0 minutes and 1 second extra if Maiden Newton Fire Station were to be closed.
7. Overall, 25 (5 per year) mobilisations may impact second appliance attendance mobilisations.	7. When compared to the DWFRS average, the two pump all incident average would increase by 0 minutes and 1 second.
8. Possible impact on surrounding stations: Beaminster 12 (2.4 per year), Bridport 66 (13.2 per year), Dorchester 158 (31.6 per year), Sherborne 40 (8 per year) modelled responses.	
9. The closure of the station would mean that a primary response to any of these incidents would transfer to a neighbouring station.	
10. As mainly on-call stations, familiarisation time will take longer and will need to be planned as part of the quarterly training schedule.	

Table 18: Impacts and benefits of closing Maiden Newton Fire Station

11. Decision rationale summary

11.1. Table 19 gives a summary of the key data used to support the decision rationale, including the current performance, proposed performance and the impact of the proposed change.

Decision rationale summary: Maiden Newton Fire Station				
Criteria	Current	Proposed	Change	Commentary
Demand and Risk				
Number of modelled incidents where station is closest	200	N/A	N/A	Other DWFRS stations would attend these incidents
Number of modelled incidents where station is second closest	76	N/A	N/A	Other DWFRS stations would attend these incidents
First Appliance Response Standard	Property Fire with Sleeping Risk (10-minute response standard)			
	14 minutes 50 seconds 4 met / 18 not met	19 minutes 39 seconds 0 met / 22 not met	+ 4 minutes 49 seconds	Additional four incidents would not have met response standard
	Property Fire without Sleeping Risk (10-minute response standard)			
	21 minutes 31 seconds 0 met / 3 not met	23 minutes 51 seconds 0 met / 3 not met	+ 2 minutes 20 seconds	No change in the number of incidents meeting response standard
	Road Traffic Collisions (RTCs) (15-minute response standard)			
	14 minutes 48 seconds 27 met / 24 not met	17 minutes 41 seconds 14 met / 37 not met	+ 2 minutes 53 seconds	Additional 13 incidents would not have met response standard
	Accidental Dwelling Fires (10-minute response standard)			
	14 minutes 32 seconds 4 met / 16 not met	19 minutes 37 seconds 0 met / 20 not met	+ 5 minutes 5 seconds	Additional four incidents would not have met response standard
Second Appliance Response Standard	Property Fire with Sleeping Risk (13-minute response standard)			
	20 minutes 56 seconds 1 met / 21 not met	23 minutes 0 seconds 0 met / 22 not met	+ 2 minutes 4 seconds	Additional one incident would not have met response standard
	Property Fire without Sleeping Risk (15-minute response standard)			
23 minutes 51 seconds	26 minutes 18 seconds	+ 2 minutes 27 seconds	No change in the number of incidents meeting response standard	

	0 met / 3 not met	0 met / 3 not met		
Accidental Dwelling Fires (13-minute response standard)				
	20 minutes 24 seconds	22 minutes 43 seconds	+ 2 minutes 1 second	Additional one incidents would not have met response standard / No change in the number of incidents meeting response standard
	1 met / 19 not met	0 met / 20 not met		
Station full cover equivalent (FCE) (Max 10)	Seven members of staff providing 30.95% of the required contracted hours for a one pump on-call station			
Financial				
Financial (To include land ownership, covenants and MOUs if applicable)	£143,608 annual revenue budget saving £32,236 annual capital expenditure cost avoidance			
Impact Assessments				
People Impact Assessment – with mitigations	Negative impact due to loss of earnings and morale.			
Community Impact Assessment	Negative impact due to increased response times, mitigations are listed in Table 20.			

Table 19: Maiden Newton Fire Station summary table

11.2. The impact of removing Maiden Newton Fire Station may see an overall increase in response times, which may mean that the first appliance is waiting longer for additional resources. The Service impacts and mitigating the consequences posed by this increase, should Maiden Newton Fire Station be closed, can be seen in Table 20.

Service impacts and mitigations			
Service Impact	RAG	Service Mitigations	RAG with Mitigations
Response time for first appliance at property fires with sleeping risk		<p>Continue Service community engagement and Safe & Well visits in Maiden Newton, with particular focus on those aged 50 or over.</p> <p>Continue Service business fire safety engagement and inspections in Maiden Newton.</p> <p>Continue recruitment to surrounding stations to ensure their appliance availability increases, with a focus on achieving five people available for each appliance.</p>	
Response time for second appliance at property fires with sleeping risk		<p>Continue Service community engagement and Safe & Well visits in Maiden Newton, with particular focus on those aged 50 or over.</p> <p>Continue Service business fire safety engagement and inspections in Maiden Newton.</p> <p>Continue recruitment to surrounding stations to ensure their appliance availability increases, with a focus on achieving five people available for each appliance.</p>	
Response time for first appliance at property fires without sleeping risk		<p>Continue Service business fire safety engagement and inspections in Maiden Newton.</p> <p>Continue recruitment to surrounding stations to ensure their appliance availability increases, with a focus on achieving five people available for each appliance.</p>	
Response time for second appliance at property fires without sleeping risk		<p>Continue Service business fire safety engagement and inspections in Maiden Newton.</p> <p>Continue recruitment to surrounding stations to ensure their appliance availability increases, with a focus on achieving five people available for each appliance.</p>	
Response time for first appliance at road traffic collision (RTC)		Local staff management, succession planning and recruitment.	
Response time for first appliance all incidents		Local staff management, succession planning and recruitment.	
Revenue Budget		Money saved or reinvested to meet Service risk and demand.	
Capital Programme (over 15-years)		Money saved or reinvested to meet Service risk and demand.	
Impact on surrounding stations		Local staff management, succession planning and recruitment.	

Table 20: Maiden Newton Fire Station impacts and mitigations

12. Summary

- 12.1. To achieve a sustainable and balanced budget for future years Maiden Newton Fire Station was shortlisted, at the MWG in March 2025, for a detailed review of its current response arrangements and performance, to enable Members to make a decision on its future viability.
- 12.2. Availability has decreased from 48.59% averaged between 1 April 2023 and 31 March 2024 to 30.95% averaged between 1 April 2024 and 31 March 2025.
- 12.3. Over the five-year review period, Maiden Newton Fire Station responded to 64 (32.00%) incidents where they were modelled as the closest appliance out of a possible 200 incidents, averaging 12.8 incidents per year, with 27.2 incidents on average per year being responded to by a neighbouring station instead of Maiden Newton Fire Station.
- 12.4. Across all incidents over the five-year period Maiden Newton Fire Station had a modelled 276 incidents (55.2 per year). However, they were actually mobilised 148 times to Service incidents over the same period (29.6 per year).
- 12.5. Should the station be closed, the average response times for incidents where Maiden Newton Fire Station would be part of the initial response, and where there is a response standard, would see an increase by between 2 minutes 20 seconds and 4 minutes 49 seconds, for first appliance attendance.
- 12.6. Furthermore, the average response times for incidents where Maiden Newton Fire Station would be part of the initial response, and where there is a response standard, would increase by between 2 minutes 4 seconds and 2 minutes 27 seconds, for second appliance attendance, should the station be closed.
- 12.7. Annual revenue savings for Maiden Newton Fire Station are £143,608 and annual estimated capital costs are £32,236. The latest land valuation costs are £220,000 with estimated redundancy costs totalling £34,290.
- 12.8. The review has not identified any significant developments planned within the next three years in the Maiden Newton Fire Station administration area.
- 12.9. Analysis of the community demographics indicates that should Maiden Newton Fire Station close, the Service will continue prevention activities prioritising Maiden Newton's over 50 population, thatch and heritage properties, and High Risk Safe & Well sites.
- 12.10. Maiden Newton Fire Station currently has limited appliance availability, which has decreased over the review period. With limited operational demand, a small resident population and no current or likely future significant risks, this suggests the costs of resourcing the station outweigh the benefits currently provided.
- 12.11. With the above in mind the risk benefit analysis focuses upon resilience within the area. Whilst appliance mobilisation is low, all incident data suggests attendance times will be increased should a decision to close Maiden Newton Fire Station be made.

- 12.12. The increase in response time risks that could be created by closure can be mitigated to a tolerable level through existing prevention measures, such as, Safe & Well visits, business fire safety inspections and a focus on recruitment and retention to surrounding stations.
- 12.13. The benefits of any closure will see a revenue and capital saving to the Service, which will support the future sustainability of the Service and, where appropriate, enable investment into areas of the Service requiring strengthening due to risk, demand and vulnerabilities.
- 12.14. Taking account of this report, and both supporting appendices, the advice of the Chief Fire Officer, as the Authority's professional advisor, is that Members are asked to consider and recommend that Maiden Newton Fire Station should be the subject of public consultation and considered for closure by the Authority.

13. Next steps

- 13.1. The Member Working Group are currently reviewing all eight stations within their review programme. Once they have agreed which stations may be subject to public consultation, and approved a public consultation exercise, Members will report their recommendations to the Authority in February 2026.
- 13.2. It is anticipated that a final decision paper will need to be presented to the Authority in June 2026.