

Contents

1. General Audit Information	4
2. Audit Team	9
3. Timetable	10
4. Audit Fees	11
5. Ethical Issues	11

Appendices

1. Required communications with the Finance & Audit Committee
2. Implementation of IFRS 16 Leases
3. Sector updates

Welcome

The purpose of this report is to give you an overview of the nature and scope of our audit work and bring to your attention the key aspects of the audit. The document also ensures that there is good communication between us, as auditors, and you.

If you have any queries regarding the plan, including the arrangements noted below, then please do not hesitate to contact us.

This Audit Plan has been prepared for the sole use of the management and those charged with governance of the Authority. Except where required by law or regulation, this report should not be made available to any other parties without our prior written consent. No responsibilities are accepted by Bishop Fleming towards any party acting or refraining from action as a result of this plan.

We are issuing our 2024/25 External Audit Plan now to ensure that there is timely discussion of the key areas of focus.

Nathan Coughlin – Key Audit Partner

T: 01752 234333

E: ncoughlin@bishopfleming.co.uk

1. General Audit Information

Engagement objectives and scope

The scope of our work is set in accordance with the National Audit Office's Code of Audit Practice (The Code) and the International Standards on Auditing (ISAs) (UK). Our work is planned to provide a focused and robust audit. We are required to provide an independent opinion as to whether the financial statements:

- give a true and fair view of the financial position of the Authority at the year end and of its expenditure and income for the year then ended;
- have been prepared properly in accordance with the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2024/25; and
- have been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014.

Throughout the audit we will also ensure that, in line with the latest Auditing Standards, we communicate on a regular basis with the Finance & Audit Committee as those charged with governance.

We are also required to satisfy ourselves that the Authority has made proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources for the year ended 31 March 2025. The Code of Audit Practice requires us to report on the Authority's arrangements under three specified reporting criteria:

- Financial sustainability – how the Authority plans and manages its resources to ensure it can continue to deliver its services;
- Governance – how the Authority ensures it makes informed decisions and properly manages its risks; and
- Improving economy, efficiency and effectiveness – how the Authority uses information about its costs and performance to improve the way it manages and delivers its services.

The respective responsibilities of the audited body and the auditor are summarised in The Code. They are also set out in the PSAA Statement of Responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies issued by Public Sector Audit Appointments (PSAA), the body responsible for appointing us as your external auditor.

Audit reports

Financial statements

On completion of our audit work on the financial statements, we will issue our Audit Completion Report to those charged with governance (the Finance & Audit Committee), which will set out our findings.

In our auditor's report, we will report on the basis under which the financial statements have been prepared and whether they give a true and fair view. The audit report will also:

- report on whether other information presented with the audited financial statements (for example, the Narrative Report and Annual Governance Statement) is materially consistent with the financial statements or our knowledge obtained in the audit; and
- conclude on the appropriateness of management's use of the going concern basis of accounting.

The form and content of our auditor's report may need to be amended in light of our audit findings.

We are required to report to you by exception the following matters, if:

- the Annual Governance Statement does not comply with "Delivering Good Governance in Local Government: Framework 2016 Edition" published by CIPFA/SOLACE; or
- we issue a report in the public interest under section 24 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014; or

- we make a written recommendation to the Authority under section 24 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 in the course of, or at the conclusion of the audit; or
- we make an application to the court for a declaration that an item of account is contrary to law under Section 28 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 in the course of, or at the conclusion of the audit; or
- we issue an advisory notice under Section 29 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 in the course of, or at the conclusion of the audit; or
- we make an application for judicial review under Section 31 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014, in the course of, or at the conclusion of the audit.

Where no matters are identified, this will also be confirmed.

Value for Money arrangements

On the completion of our work on whether the Authority has made proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources, we will issue our Auditor’s Annual Report to the Finance & Audit Committee. This will provide a commentary on the Authority’s arrangements under the three specified criteria. The report will also set out whether any significant weaknesses were identified and any relevant recommendations.

Audit materiality

In planning and performing our audit work we will consider whether the financial statements are free from ‘material misstatement’.

Materiality is an expression of the relative significance of a particular matter in the context of the financial statements as a whole. In general, misstatements, including omissions, are considered to be material if, individually or in aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of the financial statements.

The assessment of whether a misstatement is material in the context of the financial statements is a matter of professional judgement and will have regard to both the size and the nature of the misstatement, or a combination of both. It is also affected by our perception of the financial information needs of users of the financial statements. Thus, different materiality levels may be appropriate when considering different aspects of the financial statements.

If there are any areas of specific concern in which you would like us to pay particular attention to then we will be pleased to discuss this with you, and whether our audit approach can be readily adapted to accommodate such a level in that area, or whether it will be more appropriate for a special exercise to be carried out on the area.

Our basis of materiality has been set as follows:

	Basis of materiality
Dorset and Wiltshire Fire and Rescue Authority	2% of gross expenditure This equates to £1.37m.

Whilst the level of materiality is applied to the financial statements as a whole, we must also address the risk that any identified unadjusted audit differences are material when considered in aggregate. To reduce the risk of this being the case, we apply a lower level of materiality which we utilise within our work, known as Performance Materiality. This is set at a lower level than overall materiality and is determined by our assessment of the element of audit risk that pertains to the internal control environment of the Authority.

Risk assessment and significant risks

Financial statements

When planning our audit work, we will seek to minimise the risk of material misstatements occurring in the financial statements. To do this, we consider both the risk inherent in the financial statements themselves and the control environment in which the Authority operates. We then use this assessment to develop an effective approach to the audit.

This risk assessment directs our testing towards the balances and transactions at the greatest risk of material misstatement so as to minimise the risk of undetected material misstatements. However, we do not test every group of transactions or balances but carry out sample testing of balances and transactions.

Therefore, there is an inherent and unavoidable risk that some material misstatements may not be detected and therefore audit procedures should not be relied upon to detect all material misstatements, fraud, irregularities or instances of non-compliance.

Based on our knowledge of the Authority, we have identified the following as significant risk areas to be addressed during the audit along with our proposed audit approach.

Significant risks:

Risk	Audit Approach
Management override of controls (required under the ISAs)	<p>We are required by auditing standards (ISA 240) to consider fraud and management override of controls to be a significant risk for all audits as no matter how strong a control environment, there is the potential for controls to be overridden or bypassed. To address this risk, we will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Review the reasonableness of accounting estimates and critical judgements made by management;• Test material journals processed at the year-end; and• Test other journals with key risk attributes. <p>In testing journals, we will use data analytics tools to interrogate the whole population of journals posted in the year and focus on those with key risk factors.</p>
Valuation of land and buildings	<p>There is a risk over the valuation of these assets due to the values involved and the high degree of estimation uncertainty, due to the sensitivity of the estimate to changes in key assumptions and judgements. To address this risk, we will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Document our understanding of the processes and controls put in place by management, and evaluate the design of the controls;• Review the instructions provided to the valuer and the valuer's skills and expertise, in order to determine if we can rely on the management expert;• Write to the valuer to confirm the basis on which the valuation was carried out;• Confirm that the basis of valuation for assets valued in year is appropriate based on their usage;• Review the appropriateness of assumptions used in the valuation of land and buildings. For assets not formally revalued in the year we will assess how management has satisfied itself that these assets are not materially different from the current value at the year-end;• Review accuracy and completeness of information provided to the valuer, such as floor areas;

Risk	Audit Approach
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Test a sample of revaluations made during the year to ensure that they have been input correctly into the Authority's asset register; and • Form our own expectations regarding the movement in property values and comparing this to the valuations reflected in the Authority's financial statements, following up valuation movements that appear unusual.
Valuation of the pension fund net liability	<p>The Authority participates in two schemes, the Local Government Pension Scheme and the Firefighters' Pension Scheme. There is a risk over the valuation of the pension fund net liability due to the values involved and the high degree of estimation uncertainty, due to the sensitivity of the estimate to changes in key assumptions. To address this risk, we will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Document our understanding of the processes and controls put in place by management, and evaluate the design of the controls; • Review the instructions provided to the actuary and the actuary's skills and expertise, in order to determine if we can rely on the management expert; • Consider the accuracy and completeness of the information provided to the actuary; • Ensure that the disclosures in the financial statements in respect of the pension fund liability are consistent with the actuarial report from the actuary; • Carry out procedures to confirm the reasonableness of the actuarial assumptions made by reviewing the report of the consulting actuary (as auditor's expert) and performing any additional procedures suggested within the report; and • For the LGPS scheme, obtain assurances from the auditor of Wiltshire Pension Fund in respect of the controls around the validity and accuracy of membership data, contributions data and benefits data sent to the actuary by the pension fund and the fund assets valuation in the pension fund financial statements. <p>The prior year audit opinion included a qualification in relation to the LGPS pension scheme, due to the lack of assurance over the opening pension valuation at 31 March 2023.</p> <p>A qualification will still be required in the 2024/25 statement of accounts as the previous qualification impacts our assurance over the movements in the 2023/24 Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement and Movement in Reserves Statement, which will be the comparative amounts disclosed in the 2024/25 statement of accounts.</p> <p>This previous qualification will have no impact on our opinion for the year ended 31 March 2026 onwards.</p>

As part of our planning, we have rebutted the risks of fraud in revenue recognition (required under the ISAs) and fraud in expenditure recognition (required under the Financial Reporting Authority's Practice Note 10: Audit of Financial Statements and regularity of public sector bodies in the United Kingdom).

Other risks:

For the 2024/25 audit, we have included an 'other risk' relating to the first-year implementation of IFRS 16 Leases.

Risk	Audit Approach
Implementation of IFRS 16 Leases	<p>2024/25 will be the first year in which local government bodies are required to implement the provisions of IFRS 16 leases. There are transitional arrangements in place for the 'cumulative catch-up' approach mandated by CIPFA in the 2024/25 Code of Practice which will require an adjustment to the opening balances as well as supporting disclosures in the accounts. The value of the right of use assets and lease liabilities arising will depend on the nature and value of the underlying leases as well as assumptions adopted by the Authority for the incremental borrowing rate.</p> <p>From discussions with officers the risk of material misstatement at Dorset and Wiltshire Fire and Rescue Authority is expected to be low (other than for the PFI scheme assets) based on the value of the estimate and its sensitivity to changes in assumptions. Therefore, we do not consider this to be a significant risk, but as this is the first year of implementation, we have included this as an 'other risk' in our Audit Plan. To address this risk, we will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Document our understanding of the processes and controls put in place by management for identifying lease contracts, including those not covered by the transitional arrangements, and evaluate the design of the controls;• Review the appropriateness of assumptions used in calculating the estimate;• Review the completeness and accuracy of the data gathering exercise;• Confirm the appropriateness of application of exemptions for existing contracts such as short term and low value leases;• Review the required transitional disclosures and the application of the adjustment to the opening balances; and,• Test a sample of leases for accuracy in calculating the right of use asset and lease liabilities. <p>The new standard also requires us to revisit the accounting for the Authority's PFI scheme. Under IFRS 16, the inflationary uplifts built into unitary charges are required to be reflected within the liability on the balance sheet, where previously the impact would flow through as contingent rent in the year of payment. This is likely to result in a material increase in your PFI liabilities on the balance sheet. Your PFI asset values are not going to increase as a result of this change as these assets are held at valuation.</p> <p>Additional guidance on the implementation of the new standard is included in Appendix 2 of this Audit Plan.</p>

We will report back to you, as part of our completion audit work, on the outcome of our work addressing both the significant and other risk areas.

Value for money arrangements

As part of our planning work, we have also considered whether there are any risks of significant weakness in the Authority's arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources that we need to perform further procedures on.

We have identified one risk of significant weakness under the governance criteria as a result of the cultural issues identified in the October 2024 report by His Majesty's Inspectorate of Constabulary and Fire and Rescue Services (HMICFRS). We will review the arrangements and action plans you have put in place to address the matters raised in the inspection and examine the governance processes for monitoring the implementation of these plans.

We will keep our risk assessment under continual review and any changes will be communicated to those charged with governance.

Control environment

Through our audit planning procedures, we will continue to develop our understanding of the control environment in which the Authority operates.

At the time of issuing our Audit Plan we have concluded that the control environment in which the Authority operates is effective and we will tailor our audit approach accordingly.

Although we consider that the Authority operates effective and formalised controls, we will not incorporate controls-based testing into our audit approach. Therefore, our work will focus on substantive procedures.

Adjusted and unadjusted items

Of the potential audit adjustments that we identify during our audit work, some may require adjustment. The decision to make an adjustment to the financial statements is one that the Authority will need to make.

At the conclusion of the audit, we shall provide you with a schedule of potential adjustments that we identified during our audit work.

We will require you to confirm that you have considered the items and whether you have decided to adjust them in the financial statements; this will be included in the letter of representation.

We shall also provide you with a schedule, detailing those items that we identified during our audit work, which have not been adjusted for in the financial statements. This summary will not include errors that are 'clearly trivial', defined by us as those errors which individually account for no more than 5% of our materiality level.

We will require you to confirm that you have duly considered these unadjusted errors and that you have decided not to adjust for them in the financial statements; this will also be included in the letter of representation.

Fraud

While the Authority has the ultimate responsibility for the prevention and detection of fraud, we are required to obtain reasonable assurance that the financial statements are free from material misstatement, including those arising as a result of fraud. Our audit approach includes the consideration of fraud throughout the audit, including making enquiries of management and those charged with governance.

Prior year recommendations

We will follow up on the progress made by the Authority in addressing the recommendations made by us in respect of issues reported in our 2023/24 Audit Completion Report and our 2023/24 Auditor's Annual Report.

2. The Audit Team

Key Audit Partner: Nathan Coughlin
Email: ncoughlin@bishopfleming.co.uk

Senior Manager: Liam Royle
Email: liam.royle@bishopfleming.co.uk

3. Timetable

A full audit timetable has been included below:

Dorset and Wiltshire Fire and Rescue Authority YEAR END: 31 March 2025			
Date	Requirement	Bishop Fleming	Authority
Throughout 2025	Regular liaison meetings with management and the Chair of Finance & Audit Committee	✓	✓
March 2025	Date for issue of audit deliverables	✓	
w/c 21 April 2025	Planning procedures and interim audit work undertaken, examining systems and controls in place, and review of IFRS 16 calculations ahead of finalising financial statements.	✓	✓
April 2025	Date for receipt of VFM self-assessment from management		✓
April 2025	Audit team to undertake VFM work	✓	
30 June 2025	Date for receipt of the draft financial statements		✓
23 July 2025	Presentation of audit plan to Finance and Audit Committee	✓	✓
July – Sept 2025	Sample selection for main audit and some early testing of items.	✓	✓
From w/c 13 October 2025	Main audit fieldwork to be undertaken, completing work on significant risk areas and other material balances.	✓	✓
w/c 10 November 2025	Audit completion meeting to discuss draft Audit Completion Report	✓	✓
3 December 2025	Presentation of Audit Completion Report and Auditor's Annual Report to those charged with governance	✓	✓

4. Audit Fees

Dorset and Wiltshire Fire Authority, in line with most other local government bodies, opted into the national scheme run by Public Sector Audit Appointments (PSAA) for the appointment of its external auditor for the five-year period with effect from 2023/24. PSAA set the scale fee for the audit of Dorset and Wiltshire Fire Authority under the contract. The audit scale fee set by PSAA for the Authority and our proposed variations are set out below:

PSAA scale fee 2024/25	£106,703
Proposed fee variations at the planning stage:	
Review of implementation of IFRS 16	£TBC
Additional procedures to agree modification of the audit report in relation to 2022/23 pension assurances	£TBC
Total (excluding VAT)	£TBC

The scale fees set by PSAA:

- are based on the expectation that complete and materially accurate financial statements, with supporting working papers, will be available within agreed timeframes (as set out in [PSAA's Statement of Responsibilities document](#)); and
- reflect as far as possible the previous assessment of audit risk and complexity.

Where work was substantially more or less than envisaged by the scale fee, we will propose that the fees should be varied. PSAA determine the outcome of any fee variations. The proposed fee variations set out above reflect issues that were not reflected in the scale fee when it was set by PSAA.

As the individual responsible for the project management of the audit, Liam Royle will monitor the position in relation to any issues that could potentially give rise to a fee variation and discuss them with the Treasurer (the s.151 officer) at the earliest opportunity.

There are no non-audit fees proposed at the planning stage.

5. Ethical Issues

In order to comply with professional and ethical standards we are required to communicate to you all significant facts and matters that, in our professional judgement, may affect the firm's independence. This is for reference only, and unless you wish to make any comments, there is no need to respond.

Threats & safeguards

The standards require us to consider the perceived potential threats to our objectivity and independence in carrying out the audit. We are not providing any other audit related or non-audit related services. We have not identified any threats to the firm's independence.

Overall assessment

We can confirm that we comply with the Financial Reporting Authority's (FRC) Ethical Standard and are able to issue an objective opinion on the financial statements. There are no significant facts or matters that impact on our independence as auditors that we are required or wish to draw to your attention.

Maintaining objectivity & independence

As a firm we have policies and procedures in place to monitor auditor objectivity and independence on a regular basis. If any additional threats are identified, we will of course advise you immediately.

We also perform an annual review of completed audit engagements for quality control purposes.

If you would like to discuss any of the above, please contact us.

1. Required communications with the Finance & Audit Committee

Under the auditing standards, there are certain communications that we must provide to the Finance & Audit Committee as those charged with governance. These include:

Required communication	Where addressed
Our responsibilities in relation to the financial statement audit and those of management and those charged with governance.	Audit Plan
The planned scope and timing of the audit including any limitations, specifically including with respect to significant risks.	Audit Plan
With respect to misstatements: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> uncorrected misstatements and their effect on our audit opinion; the effect of uncorrected misstatements related to prior periods; a request that any uncorrected misstatement is corrected; and in writing, corrected misstatements that are significant. 	Audit Completion Report
With respect to fraud communications: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> enquiries of those charged with governance to determine whether they have a knowledge of any actual, suspected or alleged fraud affecting the entity; any fraud that we have identified or information we have obtained that indicates that fraud may exist; and a discussion of any other matters related to fraud. 	Audit Completion Report Discussions at Finance & Audit Committees
Significant matters arising during the audit in connection with the entity's related parties.	Audit Completion Report
Significant findings from the audit including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> our view about the significant qualitative aspects of accounting practices including accounting policies, accounting estimates and financial statement disclosures; significant difficulties, if any, encountered during the audit; significant matters, if any, arising from the audit that were discussed with management; written representations that we are seeking; expected modifications to the audit report; and other matters significant to the oversight of the financial reporting process or otherwise identified during the audit that we believe will be relevant to the Committee when fulfilling their responsibilities. 	Audit Completion Report
Significant deficiencies in internal controls identified during the audit.	Audit Completion Report
Where relevant, any issues identified with respect to authority to obtain external confirmations or inability to obtain relevant and reliable audit evidence from other procedures.	Audit Completion Report
Audit findings regarding non-compliance with laws and regulations	Audit Completion Report Discussions at Finance & Audit Committees
Significant matters in relation to going concern.	Audit Completion Report
Indication of whether all requested explanations and documents were provided by the entity.	Audit Completion Report
Confirmation of independence and objectivity of the firm and engagement team members.	Audit Plan Audit Completion Report

2. Implementation of IFRS 16 Leases

The CIPFA Code of Practice 2024/25 requires local authorities to adopt the provisions of IFRS 16 Leases from 1 April 2024. This standard replaces IAS 17 Leases.

The Code includes one adaptation to the standard for leases with nil consideration and several interpretations for the transitional arrangements applied at 1 April 2024. Under the transitional arrangements, local authorities are required to apply IFRS 16 to contracts that were previously identified as leases applying IAS 17 Leases and IFRIC 4.

The key tasks for authorities are:

- To apply the standard to any leases classified as such under IAS 17
- Consider any exemptions for existing contracts (short term and low value leases)
- Review any arrangements which are not covered by the transitional provisions (leases for nil consideration and, where relevant, housing tenancies)
- Determine and adopt accounting policies for recognition and measurement at transition
- Document key judgements and estimates
- Adjust opening balance sheet at transition (1 April 2024) for cumulative impact

CIPFA has mandated a 'cumulative catch-up' approach, in which the application is retrospective but does not restate comparative information. The cumulative effect of initial application should be recognised as an adjustment to the opening balances at the date of initial application (1 April 2024).

In the year of implementation additional disclosures will be required confirming that the change in accounting policy is in accordance with transitional provisions in Code and describing the nature of the change in accounting policy and the transitional provisions. Authorities will also be required to explain the difference between the operating lease commitments disclosed at 31 March 2024, discounted using the incremental borrowing rate at application date, and liabilities recognised at initial application date, and disclose the weighted average incremental borrowing rate applied to lease liabilities recognised in the Balance Sheet at the date of initial application.

As your auditors, we will engage with you to undertake early work on arrangements for transitional implementation as part of our interim audit. In order to facilitate this, best practice for authorities will be to prepare an accounting paper detailing:

- what the authority has done to identify arrangements covered by the Code's definition of a lease, including those which may not be covered by the transitional provisions such as those for nil consideration
- key accounting policy approaches, including the threshold for low value items and the framework for determining discount rates, and any supporting detail for those judgments
- any application of materiality and the justification for this, and the approach to determining any arrangements for consolidating leases into portfolios
- draft disclosures for the impact of the transitional arrangements.

3. Sector updates

Devolution and Local Government Reorganisation

On 16 December 2024 the Secretary of State for Housing, Communities and Local Government published the English Devolution White paper. The White Paper announced planned changes to the Devolution Framework, aimed at “completing the map” by establishing new Strategic Authorities throughout England. Although the White Paper allows for other options, these Strategic Authorities are intended to be partnerships of multiple Local Authorities across a large geography with their own Mayor. These Strategic Authorities will be given a range of competences in areas such as transport and local infrastructure and skills and employment support and empowered to deliver on them.

In concert with the Devolution Framework, the White Paper also announced a significant programme of Local Government Reorganisation. The programme will see an end to the remaining two-tier areas, with all local authorities reorganised into unitary Councils. It is expected that these new unitary Councils will have a minimum population of 500,000. The government’s plan is for devolution and reorganisation to work in sequence in order to facilitate changes being made quickly, based on the proposals put forward by existing authorities.

Since the White Paper was published, six new devolved areas have been announced under the Devolution Priority Programme – Cumbria, Cheshire and Warrington, Greater Essex, Hampshire and Solent, Norfolk and Suffolk, and Sussex and Brighton.

Local audit reform and the local audit backstop

The White Paper also included proposals for local audit reform. A consultation was held from 18 December 2024 to 29 January 2025 to receive responses in this area. As of 12 February 2025, the Financial Reporting Authority (FRC) stepped back from the role of system leader for local audit, to be replaced by the Local Audit Office which will combine powers and responsibilities currently dispersed across the sector.

Local audit reform follows on from the backstop arrangements implemented in 2024 to address the outstanding backlog of local authority audits. Outstanding audit opinions for the financial years 2022/23 and earlier were required to be issued by 13 December 2024 except in exceptional circumstances, in the form of a disclaimer if necessary. For 2023/24 opinions, this date was 28 February 2025. The system is intended to allow both local authorities and audit providers to focus their resources on current years of account, with the intention to rebuild assurance across a number of years in cases where disclaimers of opinion were necessary. For the next four years, the statutory publication date for unaudited accounts will be 30 June, while the planned backstop dates are:

- 2024/25: 27 February 2026
- 2025/26: 31 January 2027
- 2026/27: 30 November 2027
- 2027/28: 30 November 2028



© Bishop Fleming. All rights reserved.

Bishop Fleming is a trading name of Bishop Fleming LLP, a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales No. OC391282, and Bishop Fleming Bath Limited, a limited company registered in England and Wales No. 07869428. Registered offices: Stratus House, Emperor Way, Exeter Business Park, Exeter, Devon, EX1 3QS. A list of members' names for the LLP is available at the above address.

