

Larger Van for ICT Team at Poundbury

Business Case

Business Case Number 3061	
Business Case Owner	Fiona Kiernan-Tatem
Busilless Case Owner	
Procurement Manager* Clare McCallum, 5/04/2022 (email)	
Lead Director Derek James, 23/02/2022 (email)	
Management Accountant	Charlie Windebank, 29/03/2022
Head of Financial Services	lan Cotter, 28/03/2022

Project Mandate

Project Title Department	ICT Team Poundbury - Large Fleet Van ICT	Director Project Sponsor	Derek James Fiona Kiernan-Tatem	
Background	On amalgamation of the service in 2015/16 it was types of fleet vehicles, but carry out the same role Current ICT fleet allocation: Potterne – Large kitted out van, also able to carry Large van due for replacement 2029/30(Current aj Small car/van due for replacement 2024/25 (Curre	from each site. a ladder plus a small ca ge 3 Yrs)		
	Poundbury – Two small vans One originally due for replacement as part of usual scheduled 'age' replacements 2021/22 (current age 9 Yrs) Vauxhall Combo KV13SBY			
	Due to the negative impacts this causes in Poundb fleet proposed that in 2020 they provide a standar the appropriate replacement times.		of effort and resource, hardware breakages etc, rt the ICT maintenance teams and roll them out at	
	During 2020 and as a direct result of Covid genera to 'pause' all new procurements and extend the all process ICT could make use of an already purchass occur, and fleet allocation was changed to 'Vehicle trigger points; namely 10 years old or 75,000 miles carried out to determine when and whether to reg requirements issue that remained in ICT of not have	Il current vehicle lives by ed large fleet vehicle that e fleet is now subject to s (whichever occurs first place the vehicle' Howey	at was no longer needed elsewhere. This did not review when the vehicle reaches one of two). At this point a 'condition and use' check is to be ver this did not take into account the business	
	There are no future capital forecasts for vehicles in caveat within the 5 year forecast: that following the end of Q2, the capital programme will be re-visited	ne conclusion of the Sup		
	This business case is being submitted as an except The Poundbury team have been borrowing a large			
	some ICT work cannot wait this long to be carried out. This also impacts on other departmental resources and planning such as estates if ICT are unable to align activity due to transport issues. Hiring a large van ad hoc is extremely costly long term and not feasible in todays climate.			
Project Objectives/Benefits	able to take kit directly to a job results in either a d	double journey or anoth	-	
(If you are unsure which KLOE this relates to please contact Strategic Planning)	Reduce breakages of hardware - through lack of al Incidents occur where packaging has to be remove Increase Health & Safety compliance - currently no items like TVs or kit from office changes/moves at Reduce time taken to complete jobs through being	ed to fit things onto seat ot able to carry a ladder low levels, to fit into sn	is, on current vehicles. Techs are also carrying large	
	travel back and forth. Reduce mileage and wear and tear on vehicles - at Free up technician time to complete other jobs an Benefits:			
	Increase capacity of technician resource for other Reduce costs for breakages, and wear and tear on Reduce wear and tear costs on vehicles - right size Increase Health and Safety of Team	vehicles through attend		
Project Scope	In scope: Standardise the vehicles across the two sites - with	h changes to be made at	t Poundbury only.	
(to avoid scope creep please ensure you are clear on what will and will not be delivered as part of the project)	Each site to have one large kitted/racked out vehic Out of scope (areas or tasks that will not be delive	cle plus one small vehicl	e.	
Stakeholders	See stakeholder map tab			

Alignment to the Service Delivery Plan - All fields are mandatory

Outline how this investment aligns with the Service Delivery Plan. Include page or point references for where this is evidenced within the business case.

Priority	4 - Making Every Penny Count
Strategic / High Department Risk Include risk number and current score	Risk No 507, Duplication of effort in Poundbury Office reducing availability of Tech Team resources for other work, score 12
Key lines of enquiry	KLOE 6 - How well does the FRS use resources to manage risk?

Strategy Include strategy name and 'what we will do'	ICT Strategy Review the replacement programme annually in line with the smarter ways of working agenda to ensure that users have the right hardware to meet the needs of the service and their role. Review the new telephony needs across the service and deliver a new solution to improve efficiency, reliance and reduce costs.	
---	---	--

	Project Mandate Sign-Off		
Director	Name	D. James	
	Signature		
	Position	Deputy Chief Fire Officer	
	Date	23/02/2022	
Project Sponsor	Name	Fiona Kiernan-Tatem	
	Signature		
	Position	Head of ICT	
	Date	16th February 2022	

Background

Please provide a brief overview of the current situation and what this business case is seeking to resolve. Refer to Project Mandate and Logical Framework

On amalgamation of the service in 2015/16 it was identified that ICT Technicians at Poundbury and Potterne had different types of fleet vehicles, but carry out the same role from each site. Current ICT fleet allocation:

Potterne – Large kitted out van, also able to carry a ladder plus a small car/van conversion Large van due for replacement 2029/30(Current age 3 Yrs) Small car/van due for replacement 2024/25 (Current age 8 Yrs)

Poundbury – Two small vans

One originally due for replacement as part of usual scheduled 'age' replacements 2021/22 (Current age 9 Yrs) Vauxhall Combo KV13SBY

Vehicle EG18 ZWJ Ford Transit Connect (Current age 4 Yrs) due replacement in 2028/29

Due to the negative impacts this causes in Poundbury through duplication of effort and resource, hardware breakages etc, fleet proposed that in 2020 they provide a standard specification to support the ICT maintenance teams and roll them out at the appropriate replacement times.

During 2020 and as a direct result of Covid generating a considerable reduction in support vehicle use the decision was taken to 'pause' all new procurements and extend the all current vehicle lives by 24 months. It was expected that through this process ICT could make use of an already purchased large fleet vehicle that was no longer needed elsewhere. This did not occur, and fleet allocation was changed to:

Vehicle fleet is now subject to review when the vehicle reaches one of two trigger points; namely 10 years old or 75,000 miles (whichever occurs first). At this point a 'condition and use' check is to be carried out to determine when and whether to replace the vehicle'. However this did not take into account the business requirements issue that remained in ICT for not having the correct size van for the activities carried out.

There are no future capital forecasts for vehicles included within the Capital programme; although this is supported by a caveat within the 5 year forecast: that following the conclusion of the Support Vehicle Review 2022 due for completion by end of Q2, the capital programme will be re-visited.

This business case is being submitted as an exception to the current service wide fleet restrictions on budgets.

The Poundbury team have been borrowing a large van when they can from stores, but this requires 2-3 weeks notice and some ICT work cannot wait this long to be carried out. This also impacts on other departmental resources and planning such as estates if ICT are unable to align activity due to transport issues. Hiring a large van ad hoc is extremely costly long term and not feasible in todays climate.

Essential/Desirables

Essentials	
List essentials that must be considered for each option:-	
Ability to carry essential kit and correct equipment to complete ICT activity across the large geographical area of DW	/FRS that reduces
duplication of effort.	
Desirables	
List desirables that could be considered for each option:-	
Making use of a large van already available within the Fleet	

Alignment to the Service Delivery Plan - All fields are mandatory

Outline how this investment aligns with the Service Delivery Plan. Include page or point references for where this is evidenced within the business case.

Priority	4 - Making Every Penny Count
Strategic / High Department Risk Include risk number and current score	Risk No 507, score 12. Duplication of effort in Poundbury Office, reducing availability of tech team resources for other work.
Key lines of enquiry	KLOE 6 - How well does the FRS use resources to manage risk?
Strategy Include strategy name and 'what we will do'	ICT Strategy Review the replacement programme annually in line with the smarter ways of working agenda to ensure that users have the right hardware to meet the needs of the service and their role. Review the new telephony needs across the service and deliver a new solution to improve efficiency, reliance and reduce costs.

Options Names

Option 1 - Current process (i.e. stay exactly as currently) MANDATORY

Option 2 - Reallocating existing resource (how could you utilise what you have in another way) MANDATORY

Option 3 - New investment: MANDATORY

o For temporary staff (over 6 months) or one-off requests over £10k A minimum of 1 new investment option is required.

Option 4 – New investment:

o For permanent staff or on-going costs of over £10k pa. A minimum of 2 new investment options are required.

Please list all relevant supporting documentation within the appendix at the end of this document.

Option Names:

Option 1	Current Process/Existing Budget	
Option 2	Reallocating resource/Existing Budget	

Preferred option

My preferred option is: (Outline and justify the preferred option) Option 3 - Purchase New Large Van

•

As explained in the background and options, all other possible solutions have been exhausted.

If we continue as is, there is inconsistency in service and we will not be using our people resources as effciently as possible.

This also does not support the one team approach where differing tools are supplied for the same team/roles dependent on location; making things harder for one team than another.

The option of hiring (Option 4) in could still be explored as a temporary measure - however the long term cost of this equates to the cost of actually purchasing a van that would have 24/7 access rather than only for 10 ad hoc weeks per year and does not seem the sensible approach.

	Option 3 - Purc	hase New Large Van			
		Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4
	One off	-2,400	0	0	0
Funding	On going	0	0	0	0
	Funding Total	-2,400	0	0	0
	One off	0	0	0	0
Revenue	On going	450	459	468	478
	Revenue Total	450	459	468	478
	Temporary	0	0	0	0
Staff	Permanent	0	0	0	0
	Staff Total	0	0	0	0
Capital	One off	21,000	0	0	0
	On going	0	0	0	0
	Capital Total	21,000	0	0	0

One off total		18,600	0	0	0
On going total		450	459	468	478
То	tal	19,050	459	468	478
Cost Impact	One off - Option vs Budget	-18,600	0	0	0
	One off - Option vs Budget	183	187	190	194
Total		-18,417	187	190	194
4yr Cost Impact			-17	7,846	
Savings & Efficiencies Option 1		Cashable savings	Non-cashable	Income generation	
Savings & Efficiencies	Option 1	-18,417	-18,417	-18,417	

Impact Assessment

Please complete an Impact Assessment for all Service Improvement Ideas Business Cases (not required for Resource Requests).

Department	Have you completed an Impact Assessment Stage 1 form? (yes/no)	Was a Stage 2 Impact Assessment required? (yes/no)	Issues raised in Stage 2 Impact Assessment	Completed Impact Assessment uploaded to Business Case folder (yes/no)
People *	Yes	No	N/A	Yes
Information Governance *	Yes	No	N/A	Yes
Health & Safety *	Yes	No	N/A	Yes
On Call	Yes	No	N/A	Yes

* Completion of Impact Assessments may be required. See CONNECT for guidance.

Impact Assessment information on CONNECT

Appendices (As appropriate)

Please note if the format is not compatible with Excel, add links to the documents in the below box for reference For restructure or resource request please include information detail in <u>Guide for Team Resource or Restructure Business Cases</u> A department structure chart (available from Sam Roney) and job description including grade is available from HRBPs

Appendix 1	
	Van Quotation
Appendix 2	Van Design Spec
Appendix 3	Costs to Kit out Interior
Appendix 4	Insert hyperlink and rename here
Appendix 5	Insert hyperlink and rename here
Appendix 6	Insert hyperlink and rename here
Appendix 7	Insert hyperlink and rename here
Appendix 8	Insert hyperlink and rename here
Appendix 9	Insert hyperlink and rename here
Appendix 10	Insert hyperlink and rename here

Summary of Approval (to be completed by Strategic Planning & Programmes Team)

Option Approved:

Option 3 - Purchase New Large Van

▼

Amount Requested (Auto-populated)

		Option 3 - Purcha	se New Large Van		
		Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4
Funding	One off	-2,400	0	0	0
	On going	0	0	0	0
	Funding Total	-2,400	0	0	0
Revenue	One off	0	0	0	0
	On going	450	459	468	478
	Revenue Total	450	459	468	478
	Temporary	0	0	0	0
Staff	Permanent	0	0	0	0
	Staff Total	0	0	0	0
Capital	One off	21,000	0	0	0
	On going	0	0	0	0
	Capital Total	21,000	0	0	0

One off total	18,600	0	0	0
On going total	450	459	468	478
Total	19,050	459	468	478

Approvers

n/a	

SDT date	21/04/2022
Approval status	Approved
	n/a
Recommendations	

SLT date	
Head of Finance approval status	
SLT approval status	
Recommendations	

Actions

Notify Workforce Planning	n/a
Update Budgets	
To be considered against VfM tool	Finance to confirm
Date of SDT for resource allocation	n/a

Notes